

Multi-threaded PostgeSQL?

Heikki Linnakangas



Why now?

- Started as a hallway conversation at PGCon 2023
- Followed up on the mailing list:

https://www.postgresql.org/message-id/31cc6df9-53fe-3cd9-af5b-ac0d801163f4@iki.fi



Status

- There is no patch
 - Some preliminary refactoring at <u>Refactoring backend fork+exec code</u> thread
 - Some work on annotating global variables at <u>https://github.com/hlinnaka/postgres/tree/threading</u>
- I might work on this, or not. No promises!



Current multi-process architecture

Process 1	Shared memory
Process 2	
Process 3	
Process 4	



What's in shared memory? (1 / 3)

/* * Size of the Postgres shared-memory block is estimated via moderately-* accurate estimates for the big hogs, plus 100K for the stuff that's too * small to bother with estimating. * * We take some care to ensure that the total size request doesn't * overflow size t. If this gets through, we don't need to be so careful * during the actual allocation phase. */ size = 100000;size = add size(size, PGSemaphoreShmemSize(numSemas)); size = add size(size, SpinlockSemaSize()); size = add size(size, hash estimate size(SHMEM INDEX SIZE, sizeof(ShmemIndexEnt))); size = add size(size, dsm estimate size()); size = add size(size, BufferShmemSize()); size = add size(size, LockShmemSize()); size = add size(size, PredicateLockShmemSize()); size = add size(size, ProcGlobalShmemSize()); size = add size(size, XLogPrefetchShmemSize()); size = add size(size, VarsupShmemSize()); size = add size(size, XLOGShmemSize()); size = add size(size, XLogRecoveryShmemSize()); size = add size(size, CLOGShmemSize()); size = add size(size, CommitTsShmemSize()); size = add size(size, SUBTRANSShmemSize()); size = add size(size, TwoPhaseShmemSize());



What's in shared memory? (2 / 3)

```
size = add size(size, BackgroundWorkerShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, MultiXactShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, LWLockShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, ProcArrayShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, BackendStatusShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, SInvalShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, PMSignalShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, ProcSignalShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, CheckpointerShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, AutoVacuumShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, ReplicationSlotsShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, ReplicationOriginShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, WalSndShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, WalRcvShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, PqArchShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, ApplyLauncherShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, BTreeShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, SyncScanShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, AsyncShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, StatsShmemSize());
   size = add size(size, WaitEventExtensionShmemSize());
#ifdef EXEC BACKEND
   size = add size(size, ShmemBackendArraySize());
#endif
```

```
/* include additional requested shmem from preload libraries */
size = add_size(size, total_addin_request);
```



What's in shared memory? (3 / 3)

Dynamic Shared Memory for communication between parallel workers:

- Parallel sort state
- hash table for hash joins
- sharing record type cache
- Logical replication workers
- pgstat



Multi-threaded architecture

- Thread per connection

Thread 1	
Thread 2	
Thread 3	Process
Thread 4	



What's the big difference?

	Process-per-connection	Thread-per-connection
Address space	Per-process	Shared
Shared data structures	Tedious	Easy



Benefits, immediate

Performance:

- Fewer TLB misses, maybe
- Less page table overhead



Benefits, long-term

Makes developing these things easier:

- Cheaper connections, built-in "connection pool"
- Shared relcache, plan cache
- Resizing fixed-size shared memory areas
 - Shared_buffers, max_locks_per_transactions etc.
- Changing settings without restart
- Track snapshots that are in use to vacuum more aggressively
- EXPLAIN ANALYZE on the fly
- Limiting memory usage per session / connection



Objections

- It's not worth the effort
- Too much incompatibility, think of extensions. python 2 vs 3
- It will introduce lots of bugs
- Multi-process gives better isolation



Objection: It's not worth the effort

If that's true, then it won't happen

Wrong question to ask:

This is open source, people spend their time on what they decide is worth it



Objection: Too much incompatibility

- We don't want a python 2 vs python 3 situation with the ecosystem
- Even within the core project, there should be no massive disruption to how to you deploy and administer



Objection: It will introduce lots of bugs

For the record, I think this will be a disaster. There is far too much code that will get broken, largely silently, and much of it is not under our control.

regards, tom lane

- I hope not!
- Need a beta period



Objections

- It's not worth the effort
- Too destabilizing, think of extensions. python 2 vs 3
- It will introduce lots of bugs
- Multi-process gives better isolation



Objection: Multi process gives better isolation

- Chrome uses a process per tab for isolation

In PostgreSQL, it doesn't give as much isolation as you might hope:

- If one process crashes, all other processes are killed
- Stomping over shared memory can already cause corruption-at-a-distance

- Multiple processes are easier to work with in debugger, strace, top etc.



Objection: Memory leaks will be worse

- We're pretty good at not leaking resources. MemoryContexts and ResourceOwners work great.
- We only recently fixes a session-lifetime leak in LLVM



Multi-process enforces discipline

- Multi-process architecture forces *discipline* on data structures that are shared across processes
- It forces the discipline by making it so painful that you don't want to do it.
- There are other ways, like naming conventions to enforce discipline



Previous attempts

- Early Windows port
- <u>https://github.com/cmu-db/peloton/wiki/Postgres-Modifications</u> (2015)
- <u>https://github.com/postgrespro/postgresql.pthreads</u> (2018)



Other projects that have made the switch

- Apache2
 - MPM (Multi-Processing Modules), *prefork*, *worker*, or *event*
- Oracle
- Firebird



Here's the plan!



The Plan

- For each connection, launch thread instead of process
- Annotate all global variables
- Add flags for extensions to declare if they're thread-safe
- Rewrite some subsystems



Session local state

- Currently in global variables
- Convert to thread-local variables
- Or gather them all to a Session struct

✓ 14		<pre>src/backend/access/table/tab</pre>	leam.c 🖸	• • •
		00 -45,8 +45,8 00	▶	
45	45	#define PARALLEL_SEQSCAN_MA	X_CHUNK_SIZE 8192	
46	46			
47	47	/* GUC variables */		
48		<pre>- char *default_table_access_method = DEFAULT_TABLE_ACCESS_METHOD;</pre>		
49		- bool synchronize_seqscans = true;		
	48	+ session_guc char	*default_table_access_method = DEFAULT_TABLE_ACCESS_METHOD;	
	49	+ session_guc bool	synchronize_seqscans = true;	
50	50			
51	51			
52	52	/*		



Extensions

- Extensions need a transition period, independently of PostgreSQL
- Add a flag to control file:
 - Requires processes
 - Requires threads
 - Works in either model
- Need tools for checking for re-entrant
 - Static analysis tool to catch global variables
 - Tests with concurrency



Rewrite some subsystems

Some subsystems will need to work differently in multi-threaded model:

- Virtual file descriptors (fd.c)
- Inter-process signals (SIGUSR1, SIGHUP etc)
- Launching new connections
- Postmaster restart_on_crash



Transition period

- First version will be buggy
- Extensions need time to catch up
- Need a transition period, where you can choose with a GUC
 - 2 years? 5 years?
- IMHO the goal has to be to eventually remove the multi-process mode, or this is not worth it



Then what?



Reap the benefits

- Simpler parallel worker IPC
 - Get rid of DSM, DSA, replica with plain palloc()s + lwlocks
- Share Relcache, catcaches, plan caches
- Allocate temp buffers more flexibly (or move to shared buffers)



Beyond thread-per-connection

- Thread pools, queuing
- Thread per core
- Shard per core (ScyllaDB)
- Async execution
 - Have some of this in FDWs already
 - Would help to parallelize I/O in more places



TODO

- Global variables
- Extensions
- Transition period
- PIDs in user-facing APIs (pg_terminate_backend(<pid>), query cancellation message in client protocol)
- Signals between backend processes
- setlocale() -> uselocale()
- python is single-threaded



Thank you!

Q & A

pgsql-hackers thread: <u>https://www.postgresql.org/message-id/31cc6df9-53fe-3cd9-af5b-ac0d801163f4@iki.fi</u>